

From: [Robert Neely](#)
To: [Keith Pine](#); [Gene Revelas](#)
Cc: [Bob Dexter](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Jennifer Peers](#); [Jeremy Buck](#); [Tom Downey](#)
Subject: archived LWG samples
Date: 03/30/2009 03:35 PM
Attachments: [robert_neely.vcf](#)

Hey Gene and Keith,

The trustees have been looking over the archived sample inventory sheets provided to us a couple of weeks ago. Those are helpful, by the way. Thanks for pulling all of that information together.

Anyway, we've determined that the samples we're interested in retaining include (from round 1) sculpin, chinook, and carp.

We have a few questions. If you could answer these it would help us better assess transport and storage options, etc.

1) Sculpin -- there are 26 composited sculpin samples in the database for round 1. However, the archive inventory sheet for round 1 biota lists a total of about 375 individual sculpin samples (in Olympia). Weren't all of these individuals used in the compositing and, if so, why are there so many samples remaining?

2) Similar question for crayfish (which we do not want to retain) -- 27 crayfish samples in the database but 227 reported as in storage.

3) The Round 1 biota sheet includes the species codes "WE" and "YB". What are these? Were they analyzed?

Feel free to reply by email or call me directly if you need clarification on the questions.

Thanks,

R

--

Robert Neely
Regional Resources Coordinator
NOAA Office of Response and Restoration
(206)526-6617 Office
(206)617-5443 Mobile